ISSN: 3079-3319

Falcons Journal of Advanced Research

Volume: 02, Issue: (02), Article ID: FJAR-VOL2-02-25001A

The Digital Co-Pilot: Navigating Ethical Dilemmas and Redefining Leadership Competencies in an AI-Augmented Workplace

Muhammad Kashif Sattar[1], *Zeeshan Afzal*[2(*)], *Dr. Areesha Javed*[3], *Savera Gull*[4]

Abstract

Artificial intelligence (AI) is rapidly transforming educational leadership and organizational behavior, introducing a "digital co-pilot" into Pakistan's education sector. This paper examines how AI augmentation is reshaping leadership roles in educational institutions and the ethical dilemmas it raises, such as surveillance, algorithmic bias, privacy concerns, and challenges to teacher autonomy. Drawing on leadership theories, including transformational, ethical, and servant leadership, we identify key competencies required for educational leaders to navigate an AI-integrated environment. A conceptual methodology is applied, synthesizing international and Pakistani case examples and empirical insights. Notably, Pakistan's recent initiatives (e.g., the Uraan economic plan and the 2025 National AI Policy) signal a commitment to AI-driven educational transformation. However, leaders face gaps in preparedness: for instance, over 70% of academic leaders report feeling unready for AI's consequences even as AI adoption accelerates. We discuss ethical issues like data privacy and algorithmic fairness, highlighting the need for human-centered strategies to ensure AI acts as a co-pilot rather than an "autopilot" that undermines educational values. Essential leadership competencies are redefined to include AI literacy, data-informed decision-making, ethical governance, adaptability, and an empathic, human-centric approach. Case studies from Pakistan, such as an AI-enabled school in Karachi and the introduction of an AI teaching assistant, illustrate both the opportunities and challenges of AI integration. Comparable international models (e.g., AI-assisted decision systems in other countries and global ethical guidelines) are referenced to contextualize Pakistan's experience. The paper concludes with recommendations for policy and practice: investing in leadership training on AI, establishing ethical frameworks, fostering collaborative and inclusive leadership approaches, and preserving the humanistic mission of education. This research offers a comprehensive framework for educational leaders in Pakistan and beyond to harness AI's benefits while upholding ethical standards and equity. Through proactive and ethically-informed leadership, AI can be leveraged as a true co-pilot to advance educational outcomes without compromising core educational values.

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence (AI), Educational Leadership, Leadership Competencies, Transformational Leadership, Servant Leadership, Organizational Behavior*

Received: ***May 04, 2025*** *Accepted:* ***August 04, 2025***

[1] Virtual University of Pakistan, kashifsattar@gmail.com
[2] Department of Economics, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, afzalzeeshanafzal78@gmail.com (*Corresponding Author)
[3] Independent Researcher, areeshajaved5@gmail.com
[4] The Islamia University of Pakistan, saveragull19@gmail.com

© 2025 The Author(s). Published by Falcons Journal of Advanced Research (FJAR).
This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)

FJAR Falcons Journal of Advanced Research
ISSN: 3079-3319
Volume: 2,
Issue:(02)
Aug - Oct, 2025

How to Cite this Paper: Sattar, M. K., Afzal, Z., Javed, A., & Gull, S. (2025). The digital co-pilot: Navigating ethical dilemmas and redefining leadership competencies in an AI-augmented workplace. *Falcons Journal of Advanced Research, 2*(2), 1-16. https://doi.org/10.63287/690239

1. INTRODUCTION

The workplace is changing all over the world because of the rapid developments in artificial intelligence (AI) (Ashraf, Alam, & Kalim, 2025). Education is also witnessing such a transformation. AI technologies are becoming more and more "digital co-pilots" for educational organizations – from administration management to personalized instruction (Holmes, Bialik, & Fadel, 2019; Luckin et al., 2016). The use of AI in education creates opportunities for enhancing efficiency and learning but makes challenges for educational leaders complex, according to experts from the University of Massachusetts (Crompton & Burke, 2023). Leaders must find a balance between technology and core educational values to reflect on ethics, equity, and human agency in schools and universities (Selwyn, 2019; Charles & Papadaki, 2025). In developing contexts, like Pakistan, the challenges load educational institutions which have not just insufficient resources but also increased use of technology (Zaidi & Majeed, 2025).

Amid national initiatives and global trends, AI adoption is picking pace in Pakistan's education sector. The Uraan five-year economic transformation plan of the Government of Pakistan, launched in 2025, highlights AI as an accelerator in higher education (Ministry of IT & Telecom [MoITT], 2025). India's NIP (National AI Policy) aims to make India a global hub in Artificial Intelligence while ensuring to make the best of humanity for the benefit of fellow countrymen. According to the policy, education will be one of the key focus areas where the government will be recommending the use of AI-driven personalized learning tools (UNESCO, 2025). These initiatives suggest Pakistan plans to use AI to improve education access and quality. Simultaneously, they emphasize the critical necessity for educational leaders capable of guiding the responsible and effective integration of AI (Yasmeen, 2025).

1.1 Problem Statement

AI integration in Pakistan's education sector raises critical leadership and ethical challenges (Yasmeen, 2025). While AI supports tasks like student performance analysis and administrative efficiency, it risks limiting creativity, curtailing teacher professionalism, and heightening concerns over surveillance, data privacy, and algorithmic bias against marginalized groups (Selwyn, 2019; Kinza, 2025; Charles & Papadaki, 2025). Many educational leaders feel unprepared, with studies showing over 70% of higher education administrators unsure how to manage AI's unintended consequences, leading to inconsistent implementation and ethical missteps (Uren & Edwards, 2023; Ashraf, Alam, & Kalim, 2025; Sposato, 2025).

This paper examines how AI is reshaping educational leadership in Pakistan, emphasizing the ethical dilemmas it creates (privacy, surveillance, bias, teacher autonomy) and the leadership competencies needed to manage AI responsibly. Drawing on transformational, ethical, and servant leadership frameworks, it argues for a hybrid leadership model that is both visionary and people-centered.

1.2 Study Objectives

- Analyze how AI adoption is altering leadership roles, decision-making, and organizational behavior in educational institutions.
- Identify key ethical issues in AI-enabled education and their manifestation in the Pakistani context.
- Determine the leadership competencies and models required for effective and responsible AI integration.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 AI Transforming Educational Leadership and Organizational Behavior

There is an increased integration of AI technologies in educational management and pedagogy which are prompting a re-examination of leadership and organizational practices in schools and universities (Holmes, Bialik, & Fadel, 2019). Education leaders around the world are testing AI applications in a range of fields. Sposato (2025) conducted a systematic review of categories

of AI application in higher education leadership. The review separated the uses of AI into 10 classifications which included administrative efficiency, decision-making support, personalized learning, teaching, student support services, strategic planning and governance among others. According to a research study conducted by Zawacki-Richter, Marín, Bond, and Gouverneur in 2019, education is more than just automating tasks of everyday routine. Education also has the potential to modify decision-making or institutional strategy. As an instance, it has become possible for university leaders to become cognizant of student performance trends like never before with the help of AI-enabled analytics. AI predictive models help schools allocate resources better (Uren & Edwards, 2023) It also helps to identify schools or children who need more help. Due to this, it assists in policy formulation and strategic planning. (UNESCO, 2025)

Artificial Intelligence based transformation of education sector is taking root in Pakistan in big and small ways already. Some universities are using AI tools for sorting student admissions, timetable generation, faculty performance analytics etc., at an administrative level (Ashraf, Alam, & Kalim, 2025). Administrative efficiency increases, clerical load of leaders lessens with these resources. Thus, leaders can spend more time on teaching and strategy matters. AI chatbots and virtual assistants are also being tested for student services. For example, they can answer frequently asked questions. This can increase responsiveness and free staff to focus on more complex problems (Crompton & Burke, 2023). Use of AI in educational administration in Pakistan, however, is variable. Elite institutions will probably get on board quickly but many of the public sector schools and colleges still work on a low digital framework (Khan 2024). The evolution of organization behaviour by AI is not uniform across the educational system of the country.

AI has a more radical impact on teaching and learning practices. Thus changing leadership and organizational culture (Selwyn, 2019). According to a study by Zaidi & Majeed, in Pakistan, EdTech is incorporating intelligent, adaptive and automated assessment features slowly. For example, customized learning programs can alter content difficulty levels for every learner and provide instant feedback and remediation (Luckin, Holmes, Griffiths, & Forcier, 2016). The academic head, school leaders, etc. will have to learn to integrate such tools in the curriculum to help the teachers. This has implications for leadership. The principal's role will expand further. They will become a facilitator for the use of technology in enhanced instruction. The AI tools must be aligned with curricular goals and teaching methods (Fullan, 2001). Across the world – when implemented judiciously – AI has improved learning outcomes on many occasions (Wang, 2023). For instance, one study found that the use of an AI-based adaptive learning platform reduced student attrition and helped students use early warning systems that predicted performance (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). In Pakistan, although there is still limited data available, case studies appear promising. For example, in some private schools, teachers of AI-based mathematics tutoring app noticed that their students became more engaged and this also allowed them to set pace for their own learning (Happy Palace Grammar School [HPGS], 2024). In such schools, the organizational behavior is changing to asking teachers to teach through data-led instruction, using analytics reports from recommendation systems (Yasmeen, 2025).

The higher education sector in Pakistan is a notable AI driven leadership transformation example with the impetus of various national initiatives. (Ministry of IT & Telecom [MoITT], 2025; Zaidi & Majeed, 2025) According to Dr. Asghar Zaidi and Dr. Anjum Majeed (2025), the Uraan plan's demand for AI integration means that Pakistan's universities are at a critical juncture. (Zaidi & Majeed, 2025) In many fields, the curriculum needs to be updated quickly to incorporate modern AI-related content (for example, machine learning, data ethics or computational thinking) to match global trends. (Zaidi & Majeed, 2025; UNESCO, 2025) The university leaders must also initiate effective measures, which provide high-speed internet and computing infrastructure and faculty development in competencies by the leaders as the potential of AI is unearthed. The leaders of universities must now play the role of change agents to bring about digital transformation on curriculum, infrastructure, industry linkages and research priorities (MoITT, 2025; Fullan, 2001). According to Bass and Riggio (2006) and Fullan (2001), confronting silos is how universities operate, and this is already changing. Organisations that did not lend a helpful hand to academics till now or vice versa will be seen standing next to each other. These developments are in response to international trends that are impacting higher education systems, such as the integration of AI in the making of future-oriented universities that will have a variety of academic programmes with strong ethical oversight (Sposato, 2025; UNESCO, 2025).

Ethical Dilemmas in an AI-Augmented Educational Environment

AI in education raises ethical issues and socio-cultural causes that need to be understood (Selwyn, 2019; Charles & Papadaki, 2025). As the institutions of education in Pakistan engage in experiments involving AI, whether with smart classroom systems, learning analytics, administrative automation or any other design, their leaders now need to consider privacy, surveillance, bias, equity, teacher autonomy and more (Yasmeen, 2025). While there are concerns about the theory, they do have real-life effects on trust in educational institutions and also on the wellbeing of the students and staff (Crompton & Burke, 2023).

The use of AI systems for surveillance in schools and universities raises an urgent ethical issue of privacy (Holmes et al. 2019). More and more, technology that uses artificial intelligence is found in surveillance systems. The implementations may include a facial recognition camera that are used in classrooms to see if students are paying attention. The proctoring software is another instance that observes students' eye movements as they test. Internationally, cautionary tales abound. For example, several Chinese high schools have adopted AI-enabled classroom surveillance technologies. This technology looks at the student's face and posture (we assume engagement). Supporters believe this kind of monitoring system will help teachers spot dis-engaged students. It will also help ensure that discipline is maintained. Yet there have been many criticisms. This damages students' privacy and creates anxiety for them accordingly. According to Wang (2023), the data that will be obtained (facial expressions and movements) are rather personal. Consequently, will constant watching violate student rights and psychological safety. In Pakistan, these issues transform into cultural and legal issues regarding the issue of privacy. Currently, there is no umbrella data protection law in Pakistan that deals with education. Yet, general principles may be drawn from the Personal Data Protection Bill (stalled by mid-2025) (MoITT, 2025). Educational leaders must therefore tread carefully. When a university wants to set up AI CCTV, for example, the leaders have to first assess safety rather than the intrusion of privacy. Further, the use of CCTV with AI has to adhere to ethical norms and laws that may come in the future. Institutions must maintain the trust of students and their parents by being transparent about the type of data being collected and how it will be used (UNESCO, 2025). AI deployments must not have cross-cutting impacts. In the first place, they must be proportional and must "do no harm". Accordingly, AI deployments must not collect more data than necessary and not use data that harms or unfairly penalises people.

The ethical issue of algorithmic bias is just one aspect of AI systems' fairness which may be particularly important in a diverse society like Pakistan (Kinza, 2025). AI Algorithms Are Trained on Historical Data, Especially Algorithms That Are Based on Learning Machine. The AI can reinforce or worsen artefacts if data has some sort of bias or is not representative (Uren & Edwards, 2023). Bias can manifest in various ways in education. An illustrative example is an AI-based tool that screens university admissions. If such a tool is trained on past admissions data which reflects socio-economic or gender biases, it may unwittingly favour applicants from certain elite urban schools or masculinize applicants, thereby aggravating the problem. A research study on AI bias in Pakistan, conducted by Kinza Minahil (2025), reveals that biased algorithms mainly harm poorer sections, women, and linguistic minorities. An AI model assigned to screen job applications might end up ranking a CV written in English higher than a CV written in Urdu due to language bias which does not reflect the applicant's true ability (Kinza, 2025). In an educational context, this might mean AI tutors or content that does not work well in regional languages or with cultural context, thereby benefiting students who are more comfortable with Western-style content or in English (Selwyn, 2019).

Therefore, educational leaders must be ethically responsible to probe into the AI systems they use for bias. This could include asking vendors for proof of bias-testing or for pilot-testing systems with a diverse student group (Charles & Papadaki, 2025). The goal is algorithmic fairness — to ensure that no group is systematically advantaged or disadvantaged by AI decisions (Crompton & Burke, 2023). We may have to use localized datasets (like including the student data across all provinces, across the socio-economic spectrum, and in all languages) while configuring AI in Pakistan (MoITT, 2025). Diversity of local educators, IT specialists who are well versed in the culture of the region should be involved in development (Yasmeen, 2025). When evaluating an AI learning app, you should check if it has speech recognition that works for the Pakistani English accents or Urdu phrases. Discipline and surveillance by AI also requires bias mitigation. Any use of facial recognition, for example, must be tested to see whether the technology can identify students of varying skin tones and ethnic features common in Pakistan. This is

because AI vision systems have been known to misidentify students. Moreover, some studies found that such systems misidentified darker-skinned individuals at far higher rates (Wang, 2023). If we ignore these fairness issues, then the outcome can be dire. Unfair AI can enhance social injustices and decrease faith and trust in educational systems (Kinza, 2025; Selwyn, 2019).

2.2 Teacher Autonomy and Professional Roles

AI's rise in education also raises concerns about the autonomy and role of teachers (Selwyn, 2019; Yasmeen, 2025). If AI systems start handling tasks like content delivery, grading, or even personalized student feedback, what does that mean for teachers' professional identity and freedom to teach as they see fit? On one hand, AI can reduce teachers' workload on administrative and routine tasks (for example, auto-grading quizzes or generating lesson plan ideas), potentially allowing them more time to focus on creative and interpersonal aspects of teaching (Holmes, Bialik, & Fadel, 2019). On the other hand, there is a valid fear that AI might encroach on areas that teachers consider central to their expertise, thereby devaluing their role (Charles & Papadaki, 2025). Teachers might feel pressured to conform to AI recommendations for instructional strategies or to rely on AI-curated content, which could undermine their pedagogical autonomy. As one education commentator provocatively asked, "Is artificial intelligence the biggest threat to teacher autonomy?" (Selwyn, 2019). The argument is that if not managed carefully, AI could lead to highly standardized curricula and assessment, diminishing teachers' ability to tailor their teaching or innovate in the classroom (Crompton & Burke, 2023). For example, an AI learning management system might dictate the pace at which topics are covered based on algorithmic optimization, leaving less room for a teacher to slow down and engage in spontaneous discussions or address unique student questions that fall outside the algorithm's scope (Luckin, Holmes, Griffiths, & Forcier, 2016).

In Pakistan, where the teacher-centered approach and respect for teacher authority are culturally significant, any perceived erosion of teacher autonomy could face resistance and reduce buy-in for AI initiatives (Zaidi & Majeed, 2025). Educational leaders thus have the delicate task of integrating AI in a way that augments teachers rather than marginalizing them. This involves including teachers in the decision-making about AI tools (echoing the distributed leadership approach discussed later) and providing professional development to help teachers understand and harness AI effectively (Göksoy, 2015). A study in the United States stressed that AI should never replace the human educator, noting that teachers provide empathy, mentorship, and professional judgment that AI cannot replicate (NJEA, 2023). Consistent with this, leaders should articulate that AI is a tool to empower teachers – for example, giving them better diagnostic information about student learning – rather than a replacement (Fullan, 2001). In practice, this could mean encouraging a model where AI handles supplementary teaching tasks (like additional practice for students, or administrative reporting) while teachers focus on discussion, higher-order questions, and the socio-emotional aspects of learning (Bass & Riggio, 2006). Maintaining teacher autonomy also means allowing teachers discretion in how to use AI outputs. For instance, if an AI grading assistant flags certain essays as potentially weak in structure, the teacher should still make the final grading decision, using the AI's input as one factor among many.

2.3 Leadership Theories Relevant to AI Integration in Education

To effectively guide AI integration in education, leaders can draw upon and adapt established leadership theories. Classic and contemporary leadership models offer valuable lenses through which to understand how leaders might behave and what competencies they need in an AI-augmented context. In this section, we discuss three pertinent leadership paradigms – Transformational Leadership, Ethical Leadership, and Servant Leadership – along with related concepts like distributed leadership and adaptive leadership. We examine how each can inform the practice of educational leadership amid AI-driven change, especially in Pakistan's cultural and organizational context.

2.4 Transformational Leadership

Transformational leadership is characterized by the ability of leaders to inspire and motivate followers toward a shared vision, fostering innovation and change through enthusiasm and high expectations (Bass & Riggio, 2006). In an AI-integrated educational environment, transformational leadership is highly relevant as institutions undergo digital transformations. A transformational educational leader would articulate a compelling vision of how AI can enhance learning and

operations, thus rallying faculty, staff, and even students around the goal of effective AI integration. A delegation's vision will be defined by their leadership. For example, a university vice-chancellor might envisage "data-informed student success." Thus, stakeholders will notice that AI is not a threat but a vehicle for achieving educational excellence and equity. Leaders can also be agents of change – who challenge the status quo and encourage searches. Changing your mindset and being willing to try new things can help your teams to embrace AI. Pakistan schools were highly hierarchical and had a lot of tradition. A transformational leader's role may be to create more openness to reward innovation in teaching or administrative process. A department can use a small AI project like a mentor program. This will be a successful and well-delivered project and reduces fear of the unknown when it's a successful project.

Even so, there should always be care in implementing this kind of leadership in an AI context. At times, overly bright forecasting of AI is surely blind to constraints and dissenting views. A passion for effectuating change or wanting to convert something gives transformational leaders a tendency to dismiss barriers like bad infrastructure and ethics issues. A principal of a school may advocate for a fancy AI learning app without determining whether all the teachers can use it or whether their data privacy is ensured in the first place. Accordingly, transformational leadership needs to be partnered with a realistic assessment of on-ground realities and aligning others in the planning process. This is where distributed leadership comes in, as it complements the idea.

2.5 Distributed Leadership

Distributed leadership (also called collaborative leadership) means that leadership is distributed among many members of the organisation instead of one single leader. This approach is valuable in the context of integrating large language models because, in most cases, achieving success with AI implementation in education requires skills and buy-in at multiple levels. Teachers, IT staff, curriculum designers, and even students should be involved in the planning and roll-out of AI initiatives as part of a distributed leadership approach (Göksoy, 2015). A distributed leadership model for a college that wants to start an AI-based learning management system (LMS) will constitute a committee including teachers knowledgeable about IT, an IT coordinator, a student representative, and an administrator. The team can make collaborative decisions on how to customize the system, what training is necessary, and what concerns are raised. Göksoy (2015) stated that in this case, the collective decision in the institution increases the relevance and acceptance of implementations. When academics partake in the selection and design of AI systems, they are in a better position and more inclined to effectively use them in their teaching. This resonates with Wang's (2023) observation that involving educators in AI tool design leads to more fruitful classroom integration.

For Pakistan, distributed leadership might require a cultural shift in some institutions where traditionally decisions are top-down (Zaidi & Majeed, 2025). Encouraging input from junior faculty or students might not come naturally in a hierarchical setting. Yet, for AI adoption, tapping into the knowledge of younger, perhaps more digitally native teachers or listening to students' experiences with technology can be extremely beneficial (Ashraf, Alam, & Kalim, 2025). A case in point: a student's perspective on how they use an AI chatbot for homework could inform faculty on how to set guidelines for AI use in assignments. School principals and university deans practicing distributed leadership might establish regular "innovation circles" or workshops where staff share experiences with any educational technology, thereby normalizing collaborative learning and decision-making about AI. A challenge of distributed leadership is ensuring clarity of roles; too diffuse responsibility can lead to delays or lack of accountability. Leaders, even as they distribute tasks, should clearly define who oversees what aspect of the AI initiative (e.g., one team focuses on ethics policy, another on technical infrastructure) and maintain coordination. Fullan (2001) noted that inclusive leadership fosters better implementation of innovations because people feel ownership, but it needs the leader to be an effective facilitator and coordinator.

2.6 Case Examples: Pakistan and International Perspectives

To ground the discussion, this section presents illustrative case examples of AI integration in education from Pakistan, alongside comparable international models. These cases highlight how leadership decisions and styles play a critical role in shaping AI's impact. They also provide practical insights and cautionary lessons that inform the redefinition of leadership competencies in later sections.

2.6.1 Case 1: Rehan School (Karachi, Pakistan) – An AI-Enabled School for Empowerment

One pioneering example in Pakistan is the Rehan School network, which positions itself as the country's first AI-enabled school (Khan, 2024). Founded in 2022 as part of a CSR initiative by a tech company, Rehan School breaks from traditional pedagogy by leveraging technology and AI to make learning more accessible and student-driven. At Rehan School's campuses (including one in a low-income area of Karachi), students do not carry heavy textbooks; instead, they come with cell phones or laptops and engage with educational content through AI-curated videos, podcasts (such as TED Talks), and interactive media. The leadership philosophy here is quite revolutionary: the principal, Altaf Hussain, describes that the responsibility of teaching lies with the students, not the teachers, with instructors acting as "facilitators." Students even craft their own syllabi and rotate as peer-teachers for a week at a time, embodying a student-centric, constructivist approach (Ashraf, Alam, & Kalim, 2025). This model, arguably enabled by technology (since students can independently find and follow content using AI recommendations and online resources), has required a transformative form of leadership. The principal and management had to cultivate a culture of trust in students' self-direction, train teachers to relinquish authoritarian control in favor of mentorship, and ensure the technological infrastructure (devices, internet access) is in place and used ethically (Zaidi & Majeed, 2025).

From an ethical standpoint, Rehan School's use of AI appears focused on augmentation rather than surveillance. The AI is employed to "make educational content available in easy-to-learn videos" in multiple formats, likely involving language processing and content recommendation systems to curate suitable materials (UNESCO, 2025). There is no indication of invasive monitoring; on the contrary, the environment encourages freedom – students even have a "Corridor of Inspiration" with quotes, and must complete real-world tasks like earning $100 via freelancing to progress academically (Happy Palace Grammar School [HPGS], 2024). Leadership competencies demonstrated include an openness to innovation (transformational vision to depart from rote learning), and a strong empowerment ethos (servant leadership in fostering student leadership roles) (Bass & Riggio, 2006; Fullan, 2001). The principal's creative branding of his office as a "Space Station" theme to inspire students indicates symbolic leadership as well, using environment to signal a future-oriented vision (Charles & Papadaki, 2025).

This case showcases that with visionary and empowering leadership, AI can be integrated in ways that potentially enhance student agency and skills (e.g., prompt engineering, digital literacy) rather than diminish them (Yasmeen, 2025). However, it also raises questions: How scalable is this model to mainstream public schools? Does it rely on external funding and exceptional teachers? And are there measures to ensure that less self-motivated students don't fall behind in such an autonomous setup? These are questions an educational leader must consider if they aim to emulate aspects of this model (Sposato, 2025).

2.6.2 Case 2: Happy Palace Grammar School (Karachi) – AI-Powered Teaching Assistant

Another Pakistani example is the introduction of an AI-powered "teacher" named Ainee at Happy Palace Grammar School (HPGS), a private school in Karachi. Launched in late 2024, Ainee is described as Pakistan's first AI-powered teacher, designed to assist in subjects like mathematics, science, and languages (Happy Palace Grammar School [HPGS], 2024). This AI system can respond to student queries, track their progress, and adjust lessons to individual learning speeds. Essentially, Ainee functions as an intelligent tutoring system embedded in the classroom (Zawacki-Richter, Marín, Bond, & Gouverneur, 2019). The school leadership's goal with this initiative is to enhance the quality of education, reduce teacher workload, and bridge resource gaps in schools. For instance, in larger classes or in instances where a subject-specialist teacher might not be available, Ainee could provide consistent instructional support (Ashraf, Alam, & Kalim, 2025).

The leadership involved in deploying Ainee had to demonstrate several key competencies. First, technological acumen and foresight: recognizing that AI could address certain pain points (like personalized attention in class) and being willing to invest in a cutting-edge solution (Uren & Edwards, 2023). They likely had to work with developers or an EdTech provider to customize the AI for their curriculum, indicating collaborative and strategic planning skills (Crompton & Burke, 2023). Second, change management and training: introducing an AI agent in the classroom is a significant change for teachers and students. The school's administration would need to train teachers to work alongside Ainee – for example, how to incorporate

Ainee's feedback on student progress into their own teaching, or how to troubleshoot when the AI cannot handle a query (Zaidi & Majeed, 2025). Teachers must also be reassured that Ainee is a support, not a threat to their role; this calls for empathy and clear communication by the leadership (Selwyn, 2019). Third, ethical and quality oversight: The school leaders must ensure Ainee's content is accurate and in line with the national curriculum, and that data about student performance is kept confidential (MoITT, 2025). They also need to monitor how students interact with Ainee – for example, if a student asks a sensitive question, does Ainee handle it appropriately, or does the teacher need to intervene? This touches on ethical leadership: setting protocols for AI use, such as perhaps requiring that Ainee's suggestions or answers are always reviewed by a human teacher, especially in high-stakes learning (Charles & Papadaki, 2025).

The broader implication from HPGS's experiment is that AI can play a co-teacher role, but it works best as part of a hybrid model where human teachers and AI share tasks (Holmes, Bialik, & Fadel, 2019). The HPGS leadership appears to follow that approach, as they publicly frame Ainee as an initiative to support teachers and prepare students for future challenges (Yasmeen, 2025). This aligns with international experiences. For instance, some schools in the United States and Europe have introduced AI teaching assistants (often in the form of chatbots for answering FAQs or providing feedback on assignments). Those that report success often emphasize that the AI handles repetitive queries or preliminary feedback, while teachers focus on deeper instruction (UNESCO, 2025). A leadership takeaway is that clarity of AI's role is essential: stakeholders need to know that AI is a tool under the teacher's supervision (Sposato, 2025). Indeed, HPGS's approach can be seen as proactive and strategic, possibly positioning the school as an innovator in Pakistan's education market. However, leaders in less affluent schools might question the feasibility – AI like Ainee may require significant hardware, software, and maintenance costs, as well as reliable internet. Therefore, a system-level leadership consideration is how such innovations might be scaled or adapted through public-private partnerships or government support, ensuring they don't remain confined to elite schools only (Khan, 2024).

2.6.3 Case 3: AI and Higher Education Administration (Pakistan vs. International)

At the university level, one can compare how leadership in Pakistan is approaching AI in administration versus some international models. As mentioned earlier, Pakistan's higher education leaders are under policy pressure (via Uraan and HEC directives) to incorporate AI for improving academic and administrative functions (Zaidi & Majeed, 2025). For example, university registrars are exploring AI for automating the admissions process, predicting enrollment trends, or detecting academic integrity issues (such as plagiarism using AI-based plagiarism checkers beyond the traditional Turnitin) (Ashraf, Alam, & Kalim, 2025). A number of private universities have formed committees on "Digital Transformation" which include AI integration as a key agenda (MoITT, 2025). Leadership styles here seem to combine transformational aspirations with pragmatic incrementalism. A vice-chancellor might announce an ambitious plan to digitize campus operations (transformational vision) but implement it module by module – say, start with an AI chatbot for the student helpdesk, then introduce AI in course advising, etc., learning from each step (adaptive leadership) (Fullan, 2001).

Internationally, many universities have chief digital officers or similar roles that spearhead AI initiatives, but interestingly, these often involve a lot of stakeholder engagement and ethical reviews (Sposato, 2025). Stanford University, for instance, has a system of AI for analyzing data for student success but under the guidance of faculty committees (distributed leadership involving professors in what the admin AI recommends, context of the data) (Wang, 2023). Some universities in the UK used an AI chatbot called "Jill Watson" (created at Georgia Tech) as the teaching assistant of the online courses. The success depended on students being informed it was AI (which happened only later in some cases) and instructors monitoring the AI's answers. Those experiences taught that transparency and maintaining human in the loop are key; leadership there had to issue guidelines like "AI will not be used to communicate grades or sensitive feedback – that remains a human role" (Zawacki-Richter, Marín, Bond, & Gouverneur, 2019).

A particularly salient international contrast comes from how different systems handle AI ethics governance. The Australian education sector, for example, established principles for the use of AI in schools, emphasizing that AI

should be human-centered, safe, fair, and accountable (UNESCO, 2025). School leaders in Australia and many EU countries have to ensure compliance with data protection laws (like GDPR in Europe), which effectively forces an ethical leadership approach (Charles & Papadaki, 2025). They often conduct Data Protection Impact Assessments before rolling out a new AI tool in school. In Pakistan, formal requirements might not yet be as stringent, but forward-thinking leaders might voluntarily adopt similar practices. For instance, a Pakistani university could institute an AI ethics board including faculty from computer science, law, and philosophy to oversee any major AI deployment on campus (Yasmeen, 2025). This mirrors recommendations by UNESCO and others to have multi-stakeholder governance for AI in education. One outcome of such leadership might be, for example, a decision to disable certain features in an AI software due to privacy concerns or to modify it (like turning off face recognition in an app if not truly needed) (Selwyn, 2019).

2.6.4 Case 4: Surveillance vs. Support – (International Lessons)

The issue of AI-powered surveillance in education has seen sharp examples abroad that Pakistani leaders can learn from. In the United States during the COVID-19 pandemic, many universities and testing companies rushed to use AI-based remote exam proctoring tools (such as Proctorio or ExamSoft). These systems often recorded students via webcam, tracked keystrokes, and used algorithms to flag "suspicious" behavior (like looking away from the screen too often). The backlash was significant: students and even faculty raised concerns about privacy, anxiety, and bias (some algorithms had trouble recognizing faces with certain skin tones or religious headwear, falsely flagging those students). University leaders had to roll back or modify usage, and some faced legal challenges (Selwyn, 2019; Wang, 2023). The lesson for educational leadership is clear – just because an AI tool exists does not mean it's appropriate or accepted; the community's values and rights must guide adoption. A comparable scenario in Pakistan could be if a university tries to install AI CCTV to monitor classroom attendance or exam behavior. A leader informed by international experience would proceed with caution, involve stakeholders in dialogue, perhaps choose less intrusive methods (e.g., use AI only to aggregate attendance data from existing check-ins rather than live camera feeds), and provide opt-outs or strict data limits (Charles & Papadaki, 2025).

Meanwhile, positive international cases show AI's supportive side. In Japan, some schools use AI tutors to help students who are falling behind, as part of a national initiative to personalize learning. These are presented not as replacements but as additional "helpers" that a teacher oversees. The teachers and school leaders involved reportedly found that with proper introduction, students appreciated the immediate feedback from AI, and teachers liked having detailed analytics on student progress (Holmes, Bialik, & Fadel, 2019). Key to success was that the school leadership framed the AI tool as a resource provided by the teacher, and kept communication open – if a student was confused by an AI explanation, they could immediately approach the teacher (Luckin, Holmes, Griffiths, & Forcier, 2016). This dynamic positioning of AI as a co-pilot echoes what Pakistani educators might strive for, especially given cultural preferences for human interaction in learning (Yasmeen, 2025).

3. METHODOLOGY

This research adopts a qualitative, conceptual approach, combining elements of an integrative literature review and case study analysis, with the objective of synthesizing existing knowledge, theories, and documented examples to derive insights relevant to AI integration and leadership in Pakistan's educational sector. A comprehensive survey of literature from 2019 to 2025 on AI in education, educational leadership, and organizational behavior was conducted, emphasizing ethical implications and leadership competencies, drawing on peer-reviewed journals, policy reports, and expert commentary (e.g., Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019; Sposato, 2025; Yasmeen, 2025; Ashraf, Alam, & Kalim, 2025), supplemented with contextual data from local sources such as the National AI Policy (MoITT, 2025), Express Tribune (Zaidi & Majeed, 2025), Arab News (Khan, 2024), and institutional blogs (HPGS, 2024). Using an inductive strategy, recurring ethical themes (e.g., data privacy, bias, teacher autonomy) and leadership competencies (e.g., AI literacy, ethical governance, adaptability) were mapped against established leadership theories, with frameworks such as Sposato's taxonomy (2025) and Fullan's (2001) change leadership principles guiding analysis. In these illustrations, case examples from Pakistan and international contexts, including K-12 and higher education, were treated as mini-cases to illustrate cross-comparison of issues, actions, challenges and outcomes of leaders. Due to the interpretive nature of the study, a few limitations are present. First, reliance on

secondary sources in English could mean that grassroots undocumented voices are not included. Second, a lack of empirical studies on Pakistan and the AI-education nexus means some claims are based on scant data.

4. DISCUSSION

In Pakistan, the introduction of AI in the education sector requires a redefinition of leadership capabilities and a recalibration of leadership practices as per literature review, cases and conceptualization. Seen the word "digital co-pilot" that will suggest AI must be benevolent under human leadership guidance and not the end equivalent of intelligent decision-making. The paper outlines the competencies and strategies educational leaders must have, how these competencies build on and add to existing frameworks, and a roadmap to tackle the identified ethical dilemmas.

4.1 Redefining Essential Leadership Competencies in an AI-Integrated Environment

4.1.1 AI Literacy and Digital Fluency

To kick off, Crompton and Burke (2023) state that educators must possess the fundamental knowledge of AI technologies such as their limitations and strengths, operational capacity and how will these technology affect teaching and learning. It is not necessary for leaders to become technical experts on AI. But they must be conversant with core concepts such as machine learning; algorithms; bias in data; etc. (Holmes, Bialik, & Fadel, 2019). Above all, they should easily assess AI Tools critically. Leaders need to be able to chat with IT experts and EdTech vendors as well as smart enough to ask questions. For example, "What data is this system trained on?" "How does it protect student privacy?" This skill is similar to what we call digital fluency. Digital fluency in leadership, in essence, means the ability to use technology strategically and troubleshoot basic problems or misconceptions (Luckin, Holmes, Griffiths, & Forcier, 2016). In Pakistan, this is a learning issue. Not all educational leaders in the country have an ICT background. The Ministry of IT and Telecom's policy focus on the development of human capital – "AI focused academic curriculum" and training – also implicitly can be interpreted as leadership training (MoITT, 2025). Provincial education leadership colleges or the Pakistan Education Leadership Institute should introduce an AI module for headmasters and officer education programs. Leaders who understand AI will use it in education in ways that benefit the learning objectives and not as merely a fad (Ashraf, Alam, & Kalim, 2025). They will do a better job of communicating with stakeholders about AI, neither overhyping nor over-fearing it. According to one EdTech guideline, leaders must expect what AI will do and guide organizations accordingly, which is only possible if they comprehend what AI can and can't do (UNESCO, 2025).

4.1.2 Ethical and Responsible Decision-Making

A key competence, in light of the elaborated ethical dilemmas, is the capacity to make an ethically based decision (Charles & Papadaki, 2025). When they engage with AI – sometimes with others – ethical leaders should set the tone. They should be able to recognize the early signs of potential violations. This includes when an AI application may infringe on students' rights or worsen bias. In addition, they need to be able to establish monitoring arrangements. Leaders should be familiar with the principles set out in UNESCO's Recommendation on AI Ethics (such as transparency, accountability, privacy, fairness) (UNESCO, 2025). In practice, this means that when a leader assesses a new AI tool, he may perform an ethical impact assessment. Who's winning? Who could be harmed? How can we mitigate risks? This competency includes also understanding regulations and guidelines like the draft Personal Data Protection Bill of Pakistan and the regulatory norms (such as the GDPR) when dealing with international partnerships (Kinza, 2025). In other words, the leader guarantees that the AI will be implemented in light of the institution's values and social responsibility. Creating a policy at school/university level regulating "AI Ethics." The policy can include consent, data, allowed usage of AI (for example: banning certain intrusive AI systems, making it clear that if a student gets assistance from generative AI, they must cite it to avoid plagiarism issues) (Selwyn, 2019). When leaders formalize such positions, ethics become part of the organization's behavior. This competency closely relates to the taxonomy entry for Ethical AI Leadership, which advocates for including AI governance as part of leadership practices (Sposato, 2025). In Pakistan, where public confidence in institutions is feeble, visible ethical leadership could set apart progressive institutions, perhaps appealing to public and government support. In contrast, incidents like a cyber breach or an AI biased incident will cause reputational damage (Zaidi & Majeed, 2025). In that situation, the

institution will be held liable. An ethically competent leader will do all.

4.1.3 Visionary and Strategic Thinking (Transformational Competency)

Transformational leadership is important. Leaders need to develop and express a vision for how AI will enhance education and how that vision aligns with the vision of the institution (Bass & Riggio, 2006). It is important to predict the impact of adoption of new technologies and plan for the future (Fullan, 2001). An intelligent leader doesn't just think of getting an AI system; he/she thinks of training, maintenance and iterative improvement after achieving the hardware. They may create a multi-year plan for digital transformation which further includes significant milestones and success criteria to help with the phased introduction of AI. The vision should ideally not be a silo for AI but a place where AI is integrated with other initiatives; something like curriculum reform or teacher professional development (Holmes et al., 2019). Leaders should acquire change management strategies in their skill set. Leaders who know how to lead watch for short-term wins which are celebrated and are inputs into corrective action (Yasmeen, 2025). Building adaptability in leaders as they implement and adapt to feedback helps develop a flexible leader. The case of university reforms under Pakistan's Uraan plan is illustrative: leaders are encouraged to see AI not as a mere tool but as a transformative force that requires reimagining teaching, infrastructure, and partnerships (Zaidi & Majeed, 2025). For a college principal, visionary competence might mean re-envisioning the role of a teacher from a lecturer to a facilitator aided by AI, and then strategizing what structural changes (schedules, class size, IT support) are needed to realize that role change. Setting such visions and strategies also helps reassure stakeholders that the AI integration is purposeful and not haphazard – for example, parents are more likely to support a school's AI program if the leader can articulate, "By next year, we aim to use AI-powered tools to personalize math practice, which we expect will raise overall math proficiency by X%, and here's how we'll support students and teachers in the process" (Crompton & Burke, 2023).

4.1.4 Empathy, Communication, and Stakeholder Engagement:

Soft skills become even more vital in the AI context. Empathy allows leaders to understand the fears and hopes of teachers, students, and parents regarding AI (Selwyn, 2019). A leader skilled in empathy will pick up on, say, a teacher's anxiety that using AI in class might make her seem less authoritative or a student's worry that an algorithm might label him unfairly. By identifying these concerns, the leader can address them proactively – perhaps through workshops, Q&A sessions, or simply by providing individual support (Yasmeen, 2025). Communication skills are indispensable to explain AI initiatives in clear, non-technical, and culturally sensitive terms (Charles & Papadaki, 2025). In Pakistan, especially in community-oriented school settings, a leader might hold town-hall meetings with parents to explain any AI-based changes (for example, introducing an e-learning platform with AI tutors for homework help) and to invite questions (Khan, 2024). Transparent communication builds trust, as evidenced by cases where lack of communication led to resistance or rumors (HPGS, 2024). When students are dropped into a situation where an AI surveillance system is present without any explanation, they will expect it to have a punitive intent. If framed as a precautionary measure to protect a new idea as part of a trial-feedback-loop, this reasoning might be more compelling (Kinza, 2025). Furthermore, dialogue and decision-making with stakeholders (teachers, students, community leaders) enhances a sense of purpose and mitigates the feeling of top-down imposition (Göksoy, 2015). This competency shows aspects of servant leadership (focusing on the needs of others and helping them adjust) and distributed leadership (actively involving others in choice). It connects with cultural consciousness and the ability to uphold workplace values in order. The leaders need to express not only how we will use AI but why we will use AI. These "why" statements must be articulated in terms of education. For example, "We will use this tool to free up teachers so they can spend more one-on-one time with students who need help," or "This system will help us to pick out students that need support early on, so we can help them – after all, we care about every child's success" (Holmes et al., 2019). Framed this way, the AI is not seen as an alien imposition, but rather as part of the community's commitment to its children's education.

4.1.5 Collaboration and Network Building:

AI integration often lies at the intersection of multiple fields – education, technology, policy, and even industry (Uren & Edwards, 2023). Educational leaders should thus be adept collaborators, able to build and lead multi-

disciplinary teams. Internally, this means strengthening collaboration between academic staff and IT departments (Sposato, 2025). A competent leader might establish an "AI in Teaching" task force comprising teachers from different subjects, IT staff, and maybe student representatives, as a standing group to pilot new ideas and evaluate outcomes. Externally, leaders should network with other institutions and partners. In Pakistan, where resource disparities exist, principals or deans who collaborate can share tools and experiences – for instance, a consortium of schools could jointly train their teachers on AI tools, or a group of universities might share a center of excellence for AI in education research, as hinted by policy suggestions of AI centers of excellence (MoITT, 2025). Partnerships with EdTech startups or tech companies can also be fruitful if managed ethically (Ashraf et al., 2025). A leader should know how to negotiate these partnerships to benefit the institution (perhaps securing pilot programs or discounted software) while safeguarding institutional autonomy and data (Charles & Papadaki, 2025). International collaboration is another facet – engaging in global forums (like conferences on AI in education, or academic exchanges on best practices) will expose leaders to new ideas and help benchmark their progress (UNESCO, 2025). Essentially, no institution should navigate AI integration in isolation; the competency here is boundary spanning. The effective leader will seek knowledge and support beyond their immediate circle, which is reminiscent of the concept of learning organizations championed by Senge (Fullan, 2001). In our context, it means the leader ensures their school/college learns from the environment – whether from the next-door school or a global case study – and adapts accordingly.

4.1.6 Data-Driven Decision-Making and Analytical Skills

One of AI's promises is the wealth of data and insights it can provide. Leaders must be comfortable using data in their decision processes. This means not only trusting data but also understanding its context and limits (critical data literacy) (Zawacki-Richter, Marín, Bond, & Gouverneur, 2019). For example, if an AI analytics dashboard shows that a certain online module has low engagement, the leader should probe why – is the content poor? Are students facing connectivity issues? Is the AI measurement inaccurate? Leaders need to ask for evidence and be able to interpret basic statistical or trend reports (Uren & Edwards, 2023). The competency here is to blend data insights with professional experience in making decisions, a practice often termed evidence-based leadership (Sposato, 2025). It guards against both extremes: ignoring data (going purely by gut) or blindly following data (without contextual understanding). An important skill is to define Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) to measure the success of models they are building – e.g., improvement in student performance, reduction in time for processing administratively, increase in student satisfaction, etc. – and track their KPIs regularly (Ashraf, Alam, & Kalim, 2025). AI tools have limitations. Data-savvy leaders constantly monitor the effectiveness of any AI they are using. If results are not satisfactory, they're willing to adjust course. This adjustment may comprise switching AI tools or investing in more AI training (Crompton & Burke, 2023). Thus, a competent leader knows concepts like algorithmic accountability – an expectation from such systems to be able to explain their output. This means, for example, requiring a predictive model used in admissions or student risk assessment to come with explainable factors, so the university isn't acting on "'black box' decisions" (Wang, 2023). Overall, analytical acumen ensures that AI is used effectively and that leadership decisions remain grounded in facts and rigorous evaluation (UNESCO, 2025).

4.1.7 Resilience and Adaptability

To wrap things up, leaders need to be flexible and adaptable as AI is changing fast. Not all attempts at using AI will succeed. In fact, failure should be expected. Leaders must be ready for failure, backlash, or other possible outcomes (Selwyn, 2019). For instance, students using AI to cheat is an outcome that would need quick policy adaptation. The leadership journey with AI is one of continuous learning. As Fullan (2001) implies, leaders should cultivate a growth mindset for themselves and their institutions – seeing challenges as opportunities to learn. Resilience also pertains to ethical stands: there may be times when an institution faces pressure (perhaps a lucrative offer from a vendor or a push from a higher authority to adopt a certain system) that conflicts with its values. An ethically resilient leader would stand firm or seek alternatives that align with their ethical stance, absorbing any political or commercial pushback (Charles & Papadaki, 2025). In the Pakistani context, where leaders might have to justify expenditures or new approaches to boards or government bodies, resilience means persisting with the AI innovation agenda despite bureaucratic hurdles or initial public skepticism, while keeping an open ear to valid concerns (Zaidi & Majeed, 2025). Adaptability was

tested globally with the sudden shift to online learning during COVID-19; those education leaders who could quickly adapt by deploying or learning new digital tools fared better (Holmes, Bialik, & Fadel, 2019). In coming years, new AI developments (like more advanced generative AI, or new government regulations) will similarly test leaders' flexibility. The ones who succeed will likely be those who embrace continuous professional development for themselves, possibly even joining communities of practice focusing on AI leadership (Yasmeen, 2025).

4.2 Integrating Leadership Theories with Competencies – A Conceptual Framework

Based on the above competencies and earlier theoretical discussion, we propose a conceptual framework for educational leadership in an AI-augmented workplace, which can be visualized as follows (in a narrative form): At the core lies Ethical Transformational Leadership – a fusion where the leader is a change visionary but with ethics as the driving constraint (AI adoption must always serve humanistic educational aims) (Bass & Riggio, 2006; Charles & Papadaki, 2025). Surrounding this core are four interrelated dimensions: Human-Centric Leadership (Servant & Ethical Leadership Fusion): Emphasizing empathy, stakeholder engagement, and ethics. This ensures the AI integration maintains humanity at its center. Key actions: creating ethical AI policies, ensuring AI serves to enhance (not replace) human interaction, and protecting stakeholder well-being (Yasmeen, 2025; Selwyn, 2019). Innovative and Adaptive Leadership (Transformational & Adaptive Leadership Fusion): Driving innovation with a clear vision, while being flexible and learning-oriented. Leaders inspire excitement about AI's possibilities but remain ready to adjust tactics. Key actions: developing and communicating a future-ready vision (like a "Smart School 2025" plan), encouraging pilots and experiments with AI, and using feedback to iterate strategy (Fullan, 2001; Holmes, Bialik, & Fadel, 2019). Distributed and Collaborative Leadership: Leveraging collective intelligence, distributing responsibilities, and building teams both internally and externally. Key actions: forming AI implementation committees, involving teacher leaders in tech integration decisions, collaborating with other schools/universities for shared learning, and perhaps co-creating solutions with tech partners while maintaining educational priorities (Göksoy, 2015; Uren & Edwards, 2023). Data-Informed Decision Leadership: Utilizing data and AI analytics responsibly to guide decisions, in a transparent and accountable manner. Key actions: using learning analytics to identify areas for academic improvement, basing policy changes on evidence (e.g., extending AI tutoring if data shows improvement in grades), and sharing data insights with the community in an understandable way to build trust in AI initiatives (Zawacki-Richter, Marín, Bond, & Gouverneur, 2019; Sposato, 2025). AI Literacy provides the foundation upon which all the analysed dimensions are built. It will not be possible for any of the analysed dimensions to be realised without a basic understanding of AI (Crompton & Burke, 2023; Luckin, Holmes, Griffiths & Forcier, 2016). An educational leader functioning in an AI-rich environment concurrently needs to become a visionary reformer, responsible guardian of ethics, connector for collaborative innovation and analyzer, according to the model. Being an Online School Principal is more difficult than a traditional school principal. We need to have specific leadership development programmes. Leadership evaluation may come to include similar indicators in the future, with "ability to manage and assess technological innovation" and "maintains ethical integrity in digital initiatives" (UNESCO, 2025; Zaidi & Majeed, 2025).

4.3 Addressing Ethical Dilemmas through Leadership Competencies

Revisiting the ethical dilemmas with the lens of these competencies, we can outline how a competent leader would navigate each:

4.3.1 Surveillance vs. Privacy

A leader high in ethical decision-making and empathy would likely set clear red lines on surveillance: e.g., "We will not use facial recognition in classrooms until and unless its necessity, accuracy, and consent mechanisms are satisfactorily addressed" (Selwyn, 2019). Learners may rather prefer privacy-preserving AI tools that analyze learning patterns without storing personal images (Wang, 2023). Through transparent communication, stakeholders will be informed about what monitoring (if any) is happening and why (Charles & Papadaki, 2025). Channels will be created for feedback or complaints. If an AI system is deployed, say, for security, the leader also ensures it's managed by authorized personnel and data is not stored beyond its purpose. In short, they balance safety and privacy by opting for minimally invasive solutions and

clear policies. They would also remain adaptive: if community sentiment or new regulations shift, they are ready to pivot strategy (for example, replacing a controversial system with an acceptable alternative) (UNESCO, 2025).

4.3.2 Algorithmic Bias and Fairness

A leader with AI literacy and data acumen would proactively test AI tools for bias, perhaps by running pilot data or consulting experts, before full implementation (Zawacki-Richter, Marín, Bond, & Gouverneur, 2019). They would demand diversity in datasets used for AI in their school – for instance, if a predictive model for student success is introduced, they ensure it was trained on data inclusive of different school types and student backgrounds, or they adjust it with local data if needed (Kinza, 2025). Also, under servant leadership values, they'd be particularly concerned about any student or group unjustly affected by AI. If evidence of bias appears (e.g., the AI tutor works better for fluent English speakers than others), they would not brush it aside but acknowledge it and seek remedies – whether that's updating the software, providing additional support to those affected, or even withdrawing the tool until fixed (Ashraf, Alam, & Kalim, 2025). They may also educate students and staff about bias – turning it into a teachable moment about digital literacy (e.g., hosting a workshop on "Fairness in AI" for the school community). By doing so, they create a vigilant culture that doesn't accept algorithm outputs blindly and is committed to fairness (Sposato, 2025).

4.3.3 Student Agency and Teacher Autonomy

Using a servant and transformational blend, the leader would champion the narrative that AI is there to enhance human capabilities, not suppress them (Bass & Riggio, 2006). For student agency, they might incorporate AI in ways that actually expand creative opportunities – such as using AI to provide multiple resources for a project, which students can choose from, thereby increasing their decision-making in learning (Yasmeen, 2025). They will avoid uses of AI that make learning entirely linear or lock-step. For example, an AI might recommend reading material, but the teacher (guided by leadership policy) will also encourage students to explore beyond AI suggestions and critique the AI's choices, integrating critical thinking about AI itself (Holmes, Bialik, & Fadel, 2019). To protect teacher autonomy, an effective leader involves teachers in AI selection and gives them leeway in how to use AI tools (Göksoy, 2015). They might set a guideline that AI outputs are suggestions, and teachers have the final say. In practice, if an AI grading tool is introduced, teachers could be allowed to adjust grades based on their holistic judgment, and that is supported by the administration (Selwyn, 2019). If any teacher feels an AI tool is undermining their role, the leader meets them, listens (empathy), and adjusts policy or provides training accordingly. Over time, as teachers gain confidence, their autonomy and AI can coexist – teachers use AI where it helps and turn it off where it doesn't, all with administrative backing. The leader's strategic thinking also foresees areas of potential conflict (like AI-generated lesson plans) and addresses them by developing norms collaboratively: e.g., a norm that "Teachers can use AI for lesson inspiration but must adapt it to class context" ensures AI isn't dictating pedagogy (Fullan, 2001).

4.4 Implications for Pakistan's Educational Sector

For Pakistan specifically, these findings have several implications.

- **Policy level:** Leadership training must be embedded in national AI-in-education strategies, as infrastructure and student skills alone are insufficient. HEC and provincial education departments could introduce "AI Leadership Development" programs covering ethics, data analysis, and change management (MoITT, 2025; UNESCO, 2025).
- **Institutional level:** Schools and universities should establish roles such as AI integration coordinators or ethics officers to ensure technical and ethical oversight, distributing responsibility effectively (Sposato, 2025).
- **Cultural level:** Innovation should be encouraged while moving beyond rote learning and rigid hierarchies. Leaders must normalize questioning, creativity, and teacher facilitation, as demonstrated by Rehan School and HPGS, though sustained effort is required (Fullan, 2001; Khan, 2024; HPGS, 2024).
- **Research level:** Empirical studies are needed to test whether AI-trained principals improve implementation, whether leadership training enhances outcomes, and whether risks such as teacher overload or decision paralysis occur (Ashraf, Alam, & Kalim, 2025).

5. CONCLUSION

AI is changing how we lead and organize in Pakistan's education sector. But it presents us with wonderful possibilities as well as serious risks. Systems powered by AI can higher efficiency, optimize learning, and make evidence-based decisions, but they also bring with them some ethical dilemmas like surveillance, privacy, bias, and equity. With technology awareness and ethical responsibility in leadership, effectiveness of AI in education will take place. The leadership models like Transformational, ethical, servant, distributed and adaptive can help handle the changes bee sure less an AI diminishes student creativity, teacher autonomy and institutional trustworthiness. To prepare the leaders for the scenarios, the competencies involving AI literacy, ethical decision-making, strategic vision, empathy, collaboration, data-informed judgment, and adaptability.

The results show that AI will enhance and not reduce the importance of human leadership. Leaders assume the role of protectors of values and developers of human and technological capacities. Implicitly, the reverse also holds true: weak leadership may lead to misappropriation or negative consequences of AI that do not align with pedagogy, equity, and organizational transparency. In Pakistan, systemic reforms are essential, including education of leadership on AI, ethical governance frameworks, and developing cultures of innovation based on humanism. Research in the future must investigate what role AI-related leadership practices do play. It should also explore what cultural influences are present and it must track long-term impact on organizational performance. If visionary and ethical leadership guides it, AI could serve as a "digital co-pilot" steering education to the personalized, efficient and equitable future our societies need while enhancing and protecting education's mission of fostering creativity, critical thinking and ethical citizenship.

6. RECOMMENDATIONS

In light of the foregoing discussion and analysis, the following suggestions are offered to operationalize the identified competencies and strategies, ensuring that AI serves as a positive augmenting force under vigilant oversight.

- **AI Leadership Training:** Launch capacity-building programs for principals and administrators on AI basics, ethics, and change management.
- **Ethical AI Policies:** Require every school/university to draft clear AI governance policies covering purpose, privacy, consent, and accountability.
- **Teacher & Staff Development:** Provide regular training on using AI tools responsibly, focusing on pedagogy, integrity, and practical classroom use.
- **Balanced Innovation Culture:** Promote a "human-AI collaboration" ethos where AI supports, not replaces, teachers and human interaction.
- **Collaborative Decision-Making:** Set up tech committees with teachers, students, and parents to review and guide AI adoption.
- **Monitoring & Feedback:** Create feedback loops with data and surveys to track AI impact, and adjust or withdraw tools if needed.
- **Knowledge Sharing:** Document and share success stories and lessons learned nationally to accelerate effective practices.
- **Student & Community Dialogue:** Engage students and parents in open discussions about AI's role, benefits, and concerns.
- **Infrastructure & Support:** Ensure stable internet, devices, and technical support before rolling out AI tools.
- **Lead by Example:** Leaders should model ethical AI use, showing balance between technology and human judgment.

Pakistan's education system can successfully navigate digital transformation by embedding these recommendations, ensuring AI serves as a "digital co-pilot" under human leadership.

REFERENCES

Ashraf, M. A., Alam, J., & Kalim, U. (2025). Effects of ChatGPT on students' academic performance in Pakistan higher education classrooms. *Scientific Reports, 15,* 16434. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-92625-1

Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). *Transformational leadership* (2nd ed.). Psychology Press.

Charles, T., & Papadaki, M. (2025). Ethical leadership in technology integration and digital education. In *Diverse leadership perspectives in education: From K-12 to higher education* (pp. 437–454). IGI Global.

Crompton, H., & Burke, D. (2023). Artificial intelligence in higher education: The state of the field. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 20*(1), 1–18.

Fullan, M. (2001). *The new meaning of educational change.* Teachers College Press.

Göksoy, S. (2015). Distributed leadership in educational institutions. *Journal of Education and Training Studies, 3*(4), 110–118.

Happy Palace Grammar School [HPGS]. (2024, November 21). Pakistan's first AI-powered teacher: Revolutionizing education in Karachi school [Blog post]. *HPGS Blogs.* https://hpgs.edu.pk/ai-powered-teacher-karachi-pakistan-school/

Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning.* Center for Curriculum Redesign.

Khan, N. (2024, October 25). In Pakistan's first AI-enabled school, students come armed with cell phones instead of books. *Arab News.* **https://www.arabnews.com/node/2576716/pakistan**

Kinza, M. (2025, August 27). AI bias in Pakistan: Why algorithms fail marginalized communities. *Cyber World Insight.*

Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education.* Pearson Education.

Ministry of IT & Telecom [MoITT], Government of Pakistan. (2025). *Pakistan National AI policy (approved July 2025): Policy framework highlights.* Government of Pakistan. **https://datavault.com.pk/pakistan-first-ai-policy/**

New Jersey Education Association. (2023). *NJEA convention*. NJEA. **https://www.njea.org/event/njea-convention-3**

Selwyn, N. (2019). *Should robots replace teachers? AI and the future of education.* Polity Press.

Sposato, M. (2025). Artificial intelligence in educational leadership: A comprehensive taxonomy and future directions. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 22,* Article 20. **https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-025-00517-1**

UNESCO. (2025). *AI in higher education: Opportunities and challenges for sustainable innovation.* UNESCO.

Uren, V., & Edwards, J. S. (2023). Technology readiness and the organizational journey towards AI adoption: An empirical study. *International Journal of Information Management, 68,* 102588.

Wang, Y. (2023). Synthetic realities in the digital age: Navigating the opportunities and challenges of AI-generated content. *AI in Human Sciences, 1*(1), 103–134.

Yasmeen, T. (2025). The role of educational leaders in navigating AI integration: Implications for creativity and critical thinking. *The Knowledge, 4*(3), 15–21.

Zaidi, A., & Majeed, A. (2025, January 31). AI at the helm: A bold roadmap for transforming universities. *The Express Tribune.* **https://tribune.com.pk/story/2525572/ai-at-the-helm-a-bold-roadmap-for-transforming-universities**

Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education: Where are the educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16*(1), 1–27.